

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/343,872	06/30/1999	TUAN Q. DAO	5201-20400	8423	
24319	7590 09/16/2003				
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 LEGAL			HUYNH, KIM T		
MILPITAS, CA 95035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER /	
			2189	10	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

>

•,		Application No.	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/343,872	DAO ET AL.	,			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kim T. Huynh	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External content of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M . cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		·				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-24 is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-24</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
· · ·	ion Papers The enceification is objected to by the Evernine		•				
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		the Evaminer				
10)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
·	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 1	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•		application)			
,—	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmer		🗖 .					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	ow Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTo				
	r						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garnett et al. (US Patent 6,141,718)

Garnett discloses a high-bandwidth bus which comprises:

- between a processing device (fig.2, 22, 24, 26) each for transferring data between a processing device (fig.2, 14, 16) and an associated memory module (DMA, 133); (col.15, lines 7-24), (col.6, lines 45-65), i.e wherein bus 24 is connected to processing device 14 and 26 bus each connecting to processing device 16 and control by DMA and DMA is performing as memory access controlling between devices, it is inherent that DMA is part of memory module, col.1, line 52-col.2, line 37)
 - a cross-bus (fig.6, 80) means for transferring data among the plurality of local bus means, wherein said cross-bus means is coupled to each of the plurality of local busses by a bridge (fig.1, 12) means; (col.6, lines 45-65), (col.10, lines 23-36)

Art Unit: 2189

a memory controller means for setting said bridge means to provide processing devices with access to memory modules, wherein controller means is configured to provide highest priority for accesses from processing devices to the associated memory modules. (col.6, lines 45-67), (col.9, lines 36-51), (col.16, lines 32-67), (col.15, lines 7-24), wherein

Page 3

access to bridge and bridge controller responsive to control signals, data

Routing matrix is mapping to different paths, the storage (memory) control

and addresses on the paths to the devices and arbiter selecting priority

first come first serve)

wherein the local busses each include two unidirectional (fig.6, 92, 94, 96)

bit lines for each data bit and at least the cross-bus includes two المستحدة المستح

Togically mapping for routing for each outgoing bit line that selects (col.6, lines 45-65), (col.10 lines 23.30) lines 45-65), (col.10, lines 23-36), (fig.6, 94, 96, 92, the paths of data lines

, wherein data routing via these paths from source to devices enhance

unidirectional bit lines)

3. Claims 16-24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Funaya et al. (US 6,263,393)

As per claim 16, Funaya discloses a high-bandwidth bus system which comprises:

Art Unit: 2189

a plurality of local memory busses each for transferring data between a processing device and an associated memory module; (col.6, lines 35-48)

- one or more local intersect busses for transferring data between the
 plurality of local memory busses, wherein said local intersect busses
 are coupled to each of the plurality of local memory busses by four
 multiplexers at each intersection; and (col.4, line 61-col.5, line 22)
- a memory controller means for setting each multiplexer to provide
 processing devices with access to memory modules, wherein the
 memory controller means is configured to provide highest priority for accesses from processing devices to the associated memory modules (col.4, line 47-col.5, line 48)
 - wherein the local memory busses each include two unidirectional bit lines for each data bit and the local intersect busses includes two unidirectional bit lines for each data bit. (col.4, line 47-col.5, line 48), (col.6, line 3-67)

As per claim 17, Funaya discloses wherein the four multiplexers forward data between a processing device and a memory device with essentially no latency delay. (col.4, line 47-50) $(3 \lor 3)$

As per claim 18, Funaya discloses the bus system further comprising a memory management unit that includes a DMA controller coupled to the local intersect

Art Unit: 2189

busses and configurable to transfer a block of data between said memory modules. (col.4, line 47-col.5, line 48)

As per claim 19, Funaya discloses wherein the memory management unit includes an interrupt controller configurable to assert an interrupt signal to said processing devices after completing a block transfer of data. (col.6, lines 3-67) As per claim 20, Funaya discloses wherein the memory management unit includes one or more request queues, wherein said one or more request queues includes a single transfer queue configured to store access requests relating to single data word transfers. (col.5, line 35-67), (col.6, lines 35-67)

As per claim 21, Funaya discloses wherein said one or more request queues includes a block transfer queue configured to store access requests relating to block data transfers. (col.6, lines 35-67)

As per claim 22, Funaya discloses wherein said one or more request queues includes a message transfer queue configured to store message transfer requests. (col.6, lines 35-67)

As per claim 23, Funaya discloses wherein the memory management unit includes an interrupt controller configurable to assert an interrupt signal to a processing device that is an addressee of a message transfer request. (col.6, lines 35-48)

As per claim 24, Funaya discloses the bus system further comprising port logic connected to the plurality of local memory busses and configured to couple to the processing devices, wherein the port logic is further coupled to the memory

management unit and configured to prevent writes to protected memory. (col.6, lines 35-67)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garnett et al. (US Patent 6,141,718) in view of Funaya et al. (US Patent 6,263,393)

 As per claim 1, Garnett discloses a data transfer apparatus that comprises:
 - a plurality of busses (fig.1, ie. 24, 26, D-bus) each configured to couple a
 processing device (fig.1, ie. 14, 16) to a corresponding memory
 module;(col.1, lines 52-65), (col.5, lines 18-54)
 - at least one cross-bus (fig.6, 80) coupled to each of the plurality of busses by one or more bus bridges, wherein the bus bridge (fig.14, 12) each include a multiplexer (fig.9, 143) that are configurable to steer signals from the bus to the cross-bus, and are further configurable to steer signals from the cross-bus to the bus; (col.6, lines 45-65), (col.10, lines 23-36)
 - a memory management unit configured to receive memory access requests from a plurality of processing devices and to responsively configured the bus bridges to steer address and data signals accordingly. (col.9, lines 36-51),

Art Unit: 2189

Page 7

wherein the plurality of busses includes two unidirectional (fig.6, 92, 94, 96) bit lines for each data bit and at least one cross-bus includes two unidirectional bit lines for each data bit, and wherein the bus bridges include a multiplexer (fig.9, 143) for each outgoing bit line that selects from three other incoming bit lines. (col.6, lines 45-65), (col.10, lines 23-36), (fig.6, 94, 96, 92), paths of data lines, wherein data routing via these paths from source to devices enhance unidirectional bit lines)

Garnett discloses all the limitations as above except a set of multiplexers However, Funaya's system has multiple of multiplexers.

Funaya's system includes busses system control by a switch module which includes multiple of bus bridges each bridge include multiplexer which mux all busses and each bus correspond to each device. (col.5, lines 6-22)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Funaya's teaching into Garnett's method so as to have the availability of the switch is to lowered and the throughput of the bus switch is necessitated to be decreased. (col.3, lines 60-63)

As per claim 11, Garnett discloses a method for transferring data between a set of memory modules and a set of processor units, wherein the method comprises:

 said processing units (fig.2, 14, 16) providing transfer requests to a memory manager; (col.15, lines 7-36), wherein processor set address

Art Unit: 2189

from which to read and transfer, DMA emulates a device bus sending identical DMA requests)

Page 8

- said memory manager setting a router(fig.6, 80) in a conflict-free access pattern in response to said transfer requests, wherein setting said router includes: (col.16, lines 32-40)
- said memory manager providing control signals to bus bridges that
 couple local busses between a memory module and a processing
 device to a cross-bus between the local busses; and ;(col.1, lines 5265), (col.5, lines 18-54),
- wherein the plurality of busses includes two unidirectional (fig.6, 92, 94, 96) bit lines for each data bit and at least one cross-bus includes two unidirectional bit lines for each data bit, and wherein the bus bridges include a multiplexer (fig.9, 143) for each outgoing bit line that selects from three other incoming bit lines. (col.6, lines 45-65), (col.10, lines 23-36), (fig.6, 94, 96, 92), paths of data lines, wherein data routing via these paths from source to devices enhance unidirectional bit lines)
- said processing units accessing memory modules via said router.
 ;(col.1, lines 52-65), (col.5, lines 18-54)

As per claims 2, 13, Garnett discloses the memory management unit includes a DMA controller coupled to the cross-bus and configurable to transfer a data

between said memory modules. (col.9, lines 32-51), (col.6, lines 9-15); however Garnett fails to disclose controller configurable to transfer a block of data.

Garnett fails to disclose controller configurable for transferring a block data. However, Funaya discloses the word type identification bits are used by the switch module for discriminating between a header of a transaction cell, data of a transaction cell, a delimiter of a transaction cell and an invalid word. Switch transfers the distributed word to one of the output ports of the switch module according to the destination port number of a cell in which the word is included (col.5, lines 35-48)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Funaya's teaching into Garnett's method so as to have the availability of the switch is to lowered and the throughput of the bus switch is necessitated to be decreased. (col.3, lines 60-63)

As per claim 3, Garnett discloses the memory management unit includes an interrupt controller (fig.8, 134) configurable to assert an interrupt signal to said processing devices after completing transfer data. (col.16, lines 32-67), (col.17, lines 1-26) wherein busy condition implies not allowing data transfer until complete)

As per claims 4,5, 6, 14, Garnett discloses the memory management unit includes one or more request queues, wherein said one or more request queues includes a single transfer queue configured to store access requests relating to

data transfers. (col.10, lines 23-61), wherein signals are held in buffer (fig.9, 149) implies queue, ready to be selected for transferring)

However Garnett fails to disclose single word of data, block data or message transfer.

Garnett fails to disclose controller configurable for transferring a single data word, block data, message transfer. However, Funaya discloses the word type identification bits are used by the switch module for discriminating between a header of a transaction cell, data of a transaction cell, a delimiter of a transaction cell and an invalid word. Switch transfers the distributed word to one of the output ports of the switch module according to the destination port number of a cell in which the word is included (col.5, lines 35-48)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Funaya's teaching into Garnett's method so as to have the availability of the switch is to lowered and the throughput of the bus switch is necessitated to be decreased. (col.3, lines 60-63)

As per claim 7, Garnett discloses the memory management unit includes an interrupt controller (fig.8, 134) configurable to assert an interrupt signal to a processing device that is an addressee of a data transfer request. (col.9, lines 36-55)

As per claim 8, Garnett discloses data transfer apparatus further comprising port logic (fig.6, 88) connected to the plurality of busses and configured to couple to

Application/Control Number: 09/343,872 Page 11

Art Unit: 2189

the processing devices, wherein the port logic is further coupled to the memory management unit and configured to prevent writes to-protected memory. (col.2, lines 19-29), (col.13, lines 10-13)

As per claim 10, plurality of busses includes at least three busses. (fig.6, 22, 24, 26), (col.4, lines 21-25)

As per claim 12, Garnett discloses wherein before setting said router(fig.6, 80), said memory manager determines said conflict-free access pattern in accordance with assigned priorities for each transfer request. (col.16, lines 32-67, setting priority first come first serve implies conflict-free)

Response to Amendment

- 6. Applicant's amendment filed on 6/30/03 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- a. In response to applicant's argument that memory module cited by examiner is not associated with a particular processing device and i/o busses are not local busses between a processing device and associated module. As Garnett notes at (col.1, lines 52-col.2, line 37) wherein bus 24 is connected to processing device 14 and 26 bus each connecting to processing device 16 and controller by DMA and DMA is performing as memory access controlling between devices, it is inherent that DMA is part of memory module.

b. In response to applicant's argument that Garnett does not teach a set of multiplexers and requested the examiner to support. Funaya's system has multiple of

Application/Control Number: 09/343,872 Page 12

Art Unit: 2189

multiplexers. Funaya's system includes busses system control by a switch module which includes multiple of bus bridges each bridge include multiplexer which mux all busses and each bus correspond to each device. (col.5, lines 6-22)

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM- 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

Kim Huynh

Sept. 7, 2003

MARK H. TIMETIATI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100